CODING AND REIMBURSEMENT FOR ENDOSCOPIC ENDONASAL SURGERY OF THE SKULL BASE

Requested by: North American Skull Base Society

Written by:
Kim Pollock, RN, MBA, CPC, CMDP
KarenZupko & Associates, Inc. and the
North American Skull Base Society Coding Committee

The American Medical Association's (AMA) Current Procedural Terminology® (CPT) codes provide the national standard for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physicians. As such, these codes must be used to report services to third party payers and are the basis for reimbursement. Unfortunately, the codes do not always sufficiently describe the procedure, or may not even exist for the procedure, performed.

Endoscopic endonasal surgery of the skull base (EESSB) is now well established as an alternate surgical technique/approach for the treatment of skull base pathology but is not universally practiced at all institutions that perform skull base surgery. As a result, CPT codes do not exist for most EESSB procedures. Typically, EESSB is performed jointly by the otolaryngologist-head and neck surgeon (ENT) and neurosurgeon (NS). Therefore, coding can be complicated and third-party payers are often not familiar with the services provided, and reimbursement issues such as delayed or reduced payments result.

As the number of trained surgeons continues to expand, there is diversity of opinion and practice regarding optimal CPT coding. There is a recognized knowledge gap regarding current coding options for EESSB.

The purpose of this white paper is to provide surgeons, coders, billers, and third party payers a comprehensive understanding of current coding and reimbursement





implications for EESSB of the skull base. Payer medical directors and associated professionals will find this paper a valuable source of information about EESSB in order to facilitate medical policy development and appropriate adjudication and payment of claims. This white paper is a collaboration of KarenZupko & Associates, Inc. (KZA) and the North American Skull Base Society, with representation from NS and ENT. As such, it provides guidelines for coding but is not intended to represent official recommendations of physician specialty societies, governmental regulatory agencies, insurance providers, or healthcare consultants. Areas of controversy are noted with acknowledgement of divergent opinions. The NASBS and KZA assume no liability for any fraudulent claims or penalties resulting from coding practices as represented here.

Sources of Information

KarenZupko & Associates, Inc. is a private practice management consulting company that has extensive experience advising clients (physicians, hospitals, institutions and physician specialty societies) regarding best coding practices.

A survey of major skull base centers represented by the NASBS provided background information regarding current practices and knowledge gaps. Additional input was solicited from specialty surgical societies, in particular the American Rhinologic Society as well as CPT publications.

History of the Skull Base Surgery CPT Codes (61580-61619)

Understanding the history of the skull base surgery CPT codes and their intended use is important as it sets the stage for accurate coding of EESSB procedures.

Existing open skull base surgery CPT codes, involving a skin incision(s), were implemented in 1994 several years prior to the introduction of the endoscopic endonasal technique to resect skull base lesions. The endoscopic pituitary tumor resection code, 62165, was implemented in 2003 to provide an appropriate method to





report the resection specifically of a pituitary tumor performed endoscopically rather than the traditional transnasal or transseptal (61548) or craniotomy (61546) approaches. As described, existing skull base codes (circa1994) are used for resection or excision of neoplastic (e.g., tumor), vascular (e.g., angioma) or infectious lesions (e.g., osteomyelitis) of the skull base. They were not intended for use to address other skull base conditions such as traumatic injuries (e.g., fracture treatment) or aneurysms.

The structure of existing open skull base surgery CPT codes differs from other surgical codes which typically describe the incision/approach, repair or resection of the pathology and the usual closure in a single code. In contrast, the open skull base codes are separated into three types of codes/procedures: 1) the approach, 2) the definitive procedure, and 3) subsequent reconstruction, when required. The approach and definitive procedure codes are further divided into 3 types according to the specific anatomic location of the skull base in which the procedure is performed: anterior cranial fossa, middle cranial fossa, and posterior cranial fossa.

Approach Codes (61580-61598)

Existing open skull base approach codes describe the surgical work required to obtain adequate exposure to the lesion including making the incision(s) and dissection to the level of the pathology. Again, these codes are divided into 3 areas according to the location of the pathology – the anterior, middle or posterior cranial fossae.

Definitive Procedure Codes (61600-61616)

The open definitive procedure codes describe the excision or resection of a neoplastic, vascular or infectious lesion in the 3 cranial fossae of the skull base. These codes also describe the necessary direct closure of the operative tract, including the dural repair for the intradural definitive procedure codes. The dural repair for open skull base definitive procedure codes, at the time of the intradural resection, includes any mechanism within the same surgical exposure (e.g., fascial graft) used to close the dura.





Repair and/or Reconstruction of Surgical Defects of Skull Base Codes (61618-61619)

Because the open definitive procedure codes include the dural repair, these codes are used to describe "secondary" reconstructive procedures, meaning at a separate operative session. A typical example is an open repair of a postoperative cerebrospinal fluid leak after a procedure originally coded with the open skull base surgery codes.

Coding options for more complex primary reconstructions is addressed later in the white paper.

Code Combinations

The open skull base surgery codes are an individual subset of surgical CPT codes. Use of the codes requires a "pair" using an open approach code with an open definitive procedure code to describe a complete procedure. If an open skull base approach code is performed and ultimately billed, then a corresponding open skull base definitive procedure code would be performed/billed by the same or different surgeon. For example, if the ENT surgeon performs the approach (e.g., 61580) and the NS resects the tumor which requires intradural closure (e.g., 61601), then each surgeon will report their own CPT code. The point is that the two codes together, approach and definitive procedure, describe a complete procedure.

It is not appropriate to report an open approach code without the same, or different, surgeon reporting an open definitive procedure code because the approach is not a complete procedure. Conversely, it is not appropriate to report an open definitive procedure code without the same, or different, surgeon reporting an open approach code because the approach activity is not included in the definitive procedure codes. The point is that neither the open approach nor the open definitive procedure codes describe a complete procedure.

Additionally, the open skull base surgery codes should not be used in combination with





other procedure codes such as a craniotomy, mastoidectomy, or other another code that would describe the same, or portion thereof, service. For example, it is not accurate to report an open skull base approach code with a stand-alone craniotomy code such as 61546 for a craniotomy to resect a pituitary tumor. Doing so would be "over-reporting," "or unbundling", the approach when the single code (61546) describes a complete procedure.

The table below shows 4 common open skull base procedure scenarios and the correct, and incorrect, use of existing skull base codes that summarize the previous discussion.

Scenario	Correct Coding	Incorrect Coding
Craniotomy for	61546 (global service	61546 (global service code)
excision of pituitary	code)	61601 (definitive skull base
tumor		code)
ENT performs an open	ENT: Open skull base	ENT: Open skull base approach
skull base approach	approach code	code and mastoidectomy code
and NS performs an	NS: Open skull base	NS: Open skull base definitive
open resection of	definitive procedure code	procedure code and open skull
intradural skull base		base secondary repair of dura
tumor		code
NS performs an open	Open skull base approach	Open skull base approach
skull base approach	code and open skull base	code, global craniotomy code,
and open resection of	definitive procedure code	cranioplasty code, and open
intradural skull base		skull base secondary repair of
tumor		dura code
ENT performs an open	Open skull base approach	Open skull base approach
skull base approach	code and open skull base	code, mastoidectomy code, and
and open resection of	definitive procedure code	open skull base definitive
extradural skull base		procedure code





Scenario	Correct Coding	Incorrect Coding
tumor		

The open skull base surgery codes may be reported with codes for supportive services such as placement of a lumbar drain (62272), microsurgical techniques using the operating microscope (+69990), stereotactic navigation (+61781, +61782). These services are not included in the primary procedure, the open skull base code(s), by conventional CPT coding guidelines.

Endoscopic Excision of a Pituitary Tumor (62165)

Currently, only one CPT code exists that describes an endoscopic endonasal procedure for resection of a skull base tumor - 62165 [Neuroendoscopy, intracranial; with excision of a pituitary tumor, transnasal or trans-sphenoidal approach]. CPT 62165 is a global service code which means the code includes the approach, tumor resection and direct closure of the operative field.

Co-surgery (Modifier 62)

When two surgeons participate in the procedure together performing different parts of the procedure, then each surgeon reports the same code with modifier 62 [*Two Surgeons*] for co-surgery. For example, in an endoscopic endonasal excision of a pituitary tumor case, the otolaryngologist (ENT) typically performs the approach and the neurosurgeon (NS) performs the tumor resection. Since neither surgeon performs the entire procedure him/herself, each physician reports 62165 with modifier 62 to reflect co-surgeon activities.

Both surgeons document their service in an operative report listing the other as a cosurgeon. Each surgeon documents their own activity and refers to the other surgeon's operative report for the portion(s) of the procedure that they did not personally perform.





Some surgeons choose to describe the entire operation in their own operative report including the portions of the procedure that they did not perform. This is acceptable as long as that surgeon's operative report clearly delineates the portion that they personally performed and the two surgeons' operative reports do not include conflicting information about the procedure.

Neurosurgeons that perform the endoscopic endonasal excision of a pituitary tumor without assistance of ENT will report 62165 without the co-surgeon modifier (62) since they performed the global service.

Reimbursement Implications

In general, 62.5% of the payer fee is allowed for each co-surgeon using modifier 62. Both surgeons are then bound by payer postoperative global period guidelines; Medicare's is 90 days.

Intraoperative Global Service

CPT is the standard code set and physicians are required to follow these guidelines. There is a paucity of information in CPT about what services are included in the surgical CPT codes. For example, there are no guidelines in CPT that specifically state fluoroscopy is included in procedures where it is used for localization before or after an incision is made. That said, general CPT coding guidelines assume that if fluoroscopy is part of the "usual" procedure then it is included in the surgical code and not separately reported.

To allay coding confusion for neurosurgical procedures, the American Association of Neurological Surgeons' (AANS) developed the Guide to Coding: Mastering the Global Service Package for Neurological Surgery which is updated annually. This publication provides extensive detail about the intraoperative services included in a surgical CPT





code. The American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery (AAO-HNS) does not have similar global service guidelines.

Use of an Unlisted Code for Endoscopic/Endonasal Skull Base Surgery

Presently, there are no existing CPT codes that accurately describe endoscopic endonasal surgery (also known as extended endonasal approach) for removal of a skull base tumor. The endoscopic endonasal pituitary tumor removal code (62165) is intended only for resection of pituitary tumors via this approach. Therefore, per CPT guidelines, an unlisted code must be reported for the endoscopic endonasal approach for removal of non-pituitary neoplastic, vascular or infectious lesions at the base of the skull.

CPT guidelines instruct physicians not to select a CPT code that merely approximates the service provided. Furthermore, CPT guidelines state if no such procedure or service exists, then the appropriate unlisted procedure or service code is reported. Unlisted codes are reimbursed by many payers, contrary to popular belief, including Medicare. Endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery is not unfamiliar to many payers and for physicians who perform these procedures routinely, an organized approach for communicating with payers will result in reasonable and timely reimbursement.

The use of existing open skull base surgery codes for EESSB is not appropriate since the CPT codes describe an open approach involving a skin incision(s). However, the existing open skull base codes may be used as comparison, or base, code(s) to determine a fee for the unlisted code.

Additionally, assigning existing codes for the work performed by ENT, even though endoscopic codes may exist that closely resemble the work performed, is not appropriate. For example, reporting endoscopic sinus surgery codes (e.g., 31253-





31288) or the septoplasty code (30520) is not appropriate. This is considered "unbundling"; charging for services separately rather than as part of a single inclusive code and also has implications for NS coding.

Assigning Comparison Codes for the Unlisted Code

An unlisted code is a generic code used to report a procedure for which there is no existing CPT code. The physician must assign a description and fee to an unlisted code so it can be recognized at the payer level. Therefore, an existing CPT code(s) is used as a comparison code for description and fee assignment.

Medicare assigns a 90-day postoperative global period to the existing open skull base codes. If the unlisted code is compared to an open skull base code, then the fee represents a service with a postoperative global period of 90 days. Endoscopic sinus debridements (31237) may be separately reported in the global period using modifier 58 [Staged or Related Procedure or Service by the Same Physician During the Postoperative Period].

However, it would not be appropriate for one surgeon's comparison code to be an open skull base code (61580-61616) and the other surgeon's code to be from the endoscopic sinus surgery category (31253-31288). As a reminder, the open skull base codes are designed to be paired codes – the open approach and definitive procedure codes are reported by one or more surgeons.

In endoscopic endonasal skull base procedures, ENT typically performs the approach while NS resects the tumor. Translated to CPT coding, ENT's unlisted code is compared to an open skull base approach code while NS's unlisted code is compared to an open definitive procedure code. As previously discussed, it would not be proper coding for ENT to compare using the endoscopic sinus surgery code(s) while NS compares to an open skull base definitive procedure code.





Payer recognition of unlisted codes is not consistent across the country or even within a single region or state. Technically, by CPT coding conventions, both surgeons would report 64999 [*Unlisted procedure, nervous system*] since the comparison codes used are in the nervous system section (61000-64999) of the coding structure. Ideally, payers would recognize and pay appropriately when two surgeons in the same or different practices report the same unlisted code, 64999.

Suggested Coding Strategies

There are multiple ways for both surgeons to report an unlisted code for endoscopic endonasal procedures. The surgeons may share the same unlisted code and append modifier 62, or the surgeons may share the same unlisted code without appending modifier 62, or the surgeons may report different unlisted codes (e.g., NS reports 64999 while ENT reports 31299 [Unlisted procedure, accessory sinuses]).

Three different coding strategies are shown in the table below with comments.

Coding Strategy for an	ENT	NS	
Unlisted Code	Reports	Reports	Comments
1. Both surgeons report	64999	64999	Some payers will recognize
the same unlisted code			this and reimburse
			accordingly while others will
			reject the claim as
			unprocessable.
2. Both surgeons report	64999-62	64999-62	CPT guidelines state not to
the same unlisted code			use a modifier on an
with modifier 62			unlisted code. However,
			some payers do recognize
			modifier 62 on an unlisted





Coding Strategy for an	ENT	NS	
Unlisted Code	Reports	Reports	Comments
			code.
3. Both surgeons report	31299	64999	More frequently recognized
their own unlisted code			by payers and reimbursed.

Several years of experience have shown that some payers do not recognize coding strategies 1 and 2 in the above table. When this happens, coding strategy 3 is recommended where ENT reports an unlisted code from the sinus-related CPT codes, 31299, since the exposure is through the nose and sinuses.

We do not recommend that each surgeon report individual component codes (e.g., endoscopic sinus surgery using 31253-31288, septoplasty using 30520) instead of an unlisted code for these procedures as this would not be in the spirit of CPT coding guidelines.

Successful use of an unlisted code strategy may only become apparent by trial and error once it becomes clear that a specific payer requires a different unlisted code for each surgeon.

Regardless of the unlisted CPT code selected (31299 or 64999), it is critical that each physician describe, in the operative report, only the actual work personally performed and not the work or procedures performed by the other physician (co-surgeon). The work may vary depending on the circumstances.

Example of Using an Unlisted Code

Consider an endoscopic endonasal approach to the skull base with resection of an intradural tumor with closure. ENT typically assists the NS by holding the endoscope during the neurosurgical resection.





ENT would report 31299 for their portion of the procedure and the unlisted code would include the transnasal approach to the skull base, entering the skull base but not the dura, assisting the neurosurgeon during the dural opening and tumor resection, and then the ENT performing any closure (extradural repair). ENT's comparison code is the open anterior skull base approach code, 61580 [Craniofacial approach to anterior cranial fossa; extradural, including lateral rhinotomy, ethmoidectomy, sphenoidectomy, without maxillectomy or orbital exenteration]. The ENT's fee for 31299 would also include their assistant surgeon activity (modifier 80 or 82) on the NS's comparison code. If the closure is entirely performed by NS with visualization provided by ENT, then NS would document the closure as part of their surgical activity with ENT as assistant surgeon.

NS would report 64999 for their portion of the endoscopic endonasal resection of an anterior skull base fossa tumor and the code would include the transnasal dural opening, tumor resection and dural closure. The NS's comparison code is the paired open anterior skull base definitive procedure code, 61601 [Resection or excision of neoplastic, vascular or infectious lesion of base of anterior cranial fossa; intradural, including dural repair, with or without graft]. The NS fee for 64999 might also include assistant surgeon activity on the ENT's comparison open approach code if applicable. Note that the assistant's code is also factored into the unlisted code as a comparison code; it is not used separately with the unlisted code.

The table below summarizes the applicable codes in this example using coding strategy 3 described above.

Scenario: Endoscopic endonasal Otolaryngologist Neurosurgeon





resection of a tumor in the anterior		
cranial fossa		
Unlisted code	31299	64999
Comparison code(s) assuming each	61580	61601
surgeon assists the other	61601-80 (or 82)	61580-80 (or 82)

Unlisted Code Templates

Developing comparison codes, to determine the fee for the billed unlisted code, and communicating this to coders, billers and even payers can be confusing. Therefore, we recommend that ENT and NS practices work together to develop three to four coding scenarios to "template" the coding and billing for these procedures. This will streamline the coding and billing process and, hopefully, payer reimbursement. For example, the surgeons can instruct the coders and billers to use "template A" rather than having to determine comparison codes and fees every time an endoscopic endonasal skull base case is performed.

Be sure to describe the procedure succinctly in Box 19 (Additional Claim Information) on the CMS 1500 claim form at the time of charge entry. For example, state "endoscopic skull base surgery" so the payer knows why an unlisted code is being used.

The table below shows 3 examples of coding templates showing the unlisted codes and comparison codes with a space for the practice to insert the fee.

Scenario	Otolaryngologist*	Neurosurgeon*
A. Endoscopic endonasal	31299 Fee \$	64999 Fee \$
resection of an intradural anterior cranial fossa tumor	Comparison codes/fees: 61580	Comparison codes/fees: 61601





B. Endoscopic endonasal	31299 Fee \$	64999 Fee \$
resection of an intradural middle	Comparison codes/fees:	Comparison codes/ fees:
cranial fossa tumor	61590	61606
C. Endoscopic endonasal	31299 Fee \$	64999 Fee \$
resection of an intradural posterior	Comparison codes/fees:	Comparison codes/ fees:
cranial fossa tumor	61598	61616

^{*}Also report the other surgeon's code with the appropriate assistant surgeon modifier (80 or 82) if applicable.

Other codes such as stereotactic navigation (+61781 or +61782), placement of a lumbar drain (62272), harvest of an abdominal fat graft (20926) may be reported in addition to the unlisted code by the surgeon who performed the service.

[Insert link/location determined by Journal Editor] includes several tables showing the coding for common endoscopic endonasal skull base procedures as well as the codes for frequently performed additional services.

Additional Procedures

Each surgeon may separately bill for additional services, performed and documented, using usual CPT codes. Additional services oftentimes reported in endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery include, but are not limited to:

- 62272 [Spinal puncture, therapeutic, for drainage of cerebrospinal fluid (by needle or catheter),
- +61781 [Stereotactic computer-assisted (navigational) procedure; cranial, intradural (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)],
- 61210 [Burr hole(s); for implanting ventricular catheter, reservoir, EEG
 electrode(s), pressure recording device, or other cerebral monitoring device





(separate procedure)],

• 20926 [Tissue grafts, other (e.g., paratenon, fat, dermis)].

For example, the NS may separately report procedures such as placement of a ventricular catheter through a separate burr hole (CPT 61210) or placement of a lumbar drain (62272) in addition to the unlisted code used to represent the primary procedure. The ENT, for example, may harvest an abdominal fat graft because it is obtained through a separate skin incision. Therefore, ENT would separately report CPT 20926 (tissue graft) for this service.

Do not append modifier 62 to +61781 as only one physician may report this service; namely, the physician who performs the majority of the service (e.g., setting up the stereotactic navigational system, registering coordinates, planning the trajectory).

It would not be usual to separately report +69990 [*Microsurgical techniques, requiring use of operating microscope (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)*] since the skull base procedure is performed endoscopically.

Additionally, much like in coding for functional endoscopic sinus surgery procedures (e.g., 31253-31288), it is not accurate to report a code for a septoplasty (30520) when performed for access or as part of the approach. Be sure to clearly document the medical necessity, in terms of clinical history and exam, for performing the septoplasty if it will be separately reported.

Use of Modifier 22 (Increased Procedural Services)

Modifier 22 is appended to a surgical CPT code to indicate the service provided went "above and beyond" the "usual" case. The billed fee is increased commensurate with the percentage of added case difficulty. For example, if the procedure is 50% more difficult, then the billed fee for that CPT code is increased by 50%. CPT states to





append modifier 22 "when the work required to provide a service is substantially greater than typically required." CPT also states that the surgeon's documentation must "support the substantial additional work and the reason for the additional work (ie, increased intensity, time, technical difficulty of procedure, severity of patient's condition)." For example, an endoscopic pituitary removal with some cavernous sinus invasion may be reported using 62165-22. For extensive cavernous sinus involvement, use of an unlisted code with comparison to the open skull base codes is an alternative.

A separate Complexity, or Findings at Surgery, paragraph should be documented in the operative report preferably prior to the lengthy procedure detail section of the note. Additionally, the body of the operative note should substantiate what is documented in the Complexity, or Findings at Surgery, paragraph. Be sure to quantify the added complexity such as with time or a percentage of difficulty. Payers look at this documentation to determine whether an increase in payment is allowed.

It is not appropriate, per CPT guidelines, to report an unlisted code such as 64999 with modifier 22. Since the unlisted code does not represent a consistent procedure, appending modifier 22 for added complexity is not logical. However, the comparison code may be appended with modifier 22 and that fee increased to achieve the fee for the unlisted code. Be sure the documentation supports the added complexity.

Repair of the Dura / Closure

Closure of the dura is included as part of the unlisted procedure code since the comparison code, an intradural open skull base definitive procedure code, includes the dural repair at the same operative session.

CPT guidelines include direct surgical wound closure in the resection/excision code. An exception is if graft material is harvested through a separate surgical exposure as in a separate skin incision; in that case, a separate graft harvest code may be reported.





Previously discussed was an example of abdominal fat graft (20926) where the graft harvest for surgical site closure may be separately reported by the surgeon who harvests the graft. Placement of the graft is included in the primary procedure code as part of the closure.

An unresolved issue is whether reconstruction with a local vascularized flap (e.g., nasoseptal flap, middle turbinate flap, lateral nasal wall [inferior turbinate] flap), at the time of EESSB, constitutes a "separate surgical exposure". Advocates of reporting a separate code argue that elevation of the flap is not a necessary or routine part of the surgical approach.

It is not accurate to report 15740 [Flap; island pedicle] or 15750 [Flap; neurovascular pedicle] for a nasoseptal vascularized pedicle flap. CPT says the following about 15750: "This code includes not only skin but also a functional motor or sensory nerve(s). The flap serves to reinnervate a damaged portion of the body dependent on touch or movement (e.g., thumb)." While the nasoseptal flap is created through the same surgical corridor as the primary procedure, it is performed by making separate incisions to harvest separate graft material. Therefore, the work may be separately reported but not with an Integumentary System CPT code such as 15740 or 15750.

Additionally, it is not accurate to report 15576 [Formation of direct or tubed pedicle, with or without transfer; eyelids, nose, ears, lips, or intraoral] for the nasoseptal flap as this code is used to report nonadjacent tissue transfers involving skin and subcutaneous tissues – not nasal mucosa - and the formation of direct or tubed pedicles. Nor is 15733 [Muscle, myocutaneous, or fasciocutaneous flap; head and neck with named vascular pedicle (ie, buccinators, genioglossus, temporalis, masseter, sternocleidomastoid, levator scapulae)] appropriate because the code describes a muscle, myocutaneous, or fasciocutaneous flap on a named vascular pedicle, not nasal mucosa.





There is also not a CPT code for placement of an artificial graft in the skull base. This activity would be included in the primary procedure code for the service reported. Alternatively, one could report an unlisted code such as 17999 [Unlisted procedure, skin, mucous membrane and subcutaneous tissue] for this and use +15777 [Implantation of biologic implant (e.g., acellular dermal matrix) for soft tissue reinforcement (ie, breast, trunk) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)] as a comparison code.

The CPT Assistant, an American Medical Association publication, from March 2000 confirms that it is not appropriate to separately report a skull base dura closure code with a skull base definitive procedure code. This question and answer is noted below.

Question

Should I report code 61618 for the primary closure of the dura following ligation of an intracranial internal carotid artery aneurysm?

AMA Comment

Codes 61618 and 61619 may be reported if, after skull base surgery, the patient develops a cerebral spinal fluid leak requiring secondary repair or if the defect repair was planned as a second, staged procedure. CPT does not specify a period of time that must pass between the original skull base surgery and the secondary repair for CSF leak. If an additional procedure is required to reconstruct the leaking dura, then the appropriate code for the secondary repair may be reported. In your question, you indicate that primary repair of the dura was performed, so the repair would not be separately reported. As stated in the surgery of skull base guidelines, the definitive procedure describes the repair, biopsy, resection, or excision of various lesions of the skull base and, when appropriate, primary closure of the dura, mucous membranes, and skin.





If the dural repair is more complex than a primary closure, which is included in the open definitive procedure skull base codes, then modifier 22 may be appended to the comparison code used for fee determination of the unlisted code and the billed fee increased.

Alternatively, an unlisted code such as 30999 [*Unlisted procedure, nose*] may be separately reported to reflect the additional work of making a separate incision to harvest/place a nasoseptal flap. The comparison code, for fee determination, could be a code such as 14060 [*Adjacent tissue transfer or rearrangement, eyelids, nose, ears and/or lips; defect 10 sq cm or less*] or 15740.

See [insert link/location determined by Journal Editor] for examples of coding for reconstruction following endoscopic endonasal surgery of the skull base.

Repair of Cerebrospinal Fluid Leak

Closure of the dura, and any associated cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak repaired at the lesion removal session, is included in the open skull base definitive procedure code used as a comparison code for the unlisted code. Repair of a CSF leak during the initial procedure is included as part of the surgical wound closure and not separately billed.

A return to the operating room subsequent to the initial procedure, for repair of a CSF leak, may be separately reported. The three existing CPT codes for this activity are included in the table below:

CPT Code	Description	Comments
62100	Craniotomy for repair of	This code includes an open
	dural/cerebrospinal fluid leak, including	craniotomy approach,
	surgery for rhinorrhea/otorrhea	repair and closure





CPT Code	Description	Comments
31290	Nasal/sinus endoscopy, surgical, with	Includes an endoscopic
	repair of cerebrospinal fluid leak; ethmoid	approach, repair and any
	region	associated closure
31291	Nasal/sinus endoscopy, surgical, with	
	repair of cerebrospinal fluid leak; sphenoid	
	region	

Use an unlisted CPT code such as 64999 if none of the above codes accurately describes the procedure performed. Again, it is not accurate to report any of the above codes for repair of the dura at the initial operative session.

Append modifier 78 [Unplanned Return to the Operating/Procedure Room by the Same Physician or Other Qualified Health Care Professional Following Initial Procedure for a Related Procedure During the Postoperative Period] to the code reported for repair of a CSF leak at a subsequent operative session.

Assistant Surgeon Services

The services of an assistant are reported on the CPT code(s) that the primary surgeon performed and appended with either modifier 80 [Assistant Surgeon] or 82 [Assistant Surgeon (when qualified resident surgeon not available)]. Modifier 82 is used when a faculty surgeon assists another faculty surgeon and a qualified resident is not available. The primary faculty surgeon is responsible for documenting, in the operative note, the presence of the faculty assistant surgeon as well as the unavailability of a qualified resident. Modifier 80 is used for an assistant surgeon in a non-resident setting.

Typically the billed fee for a code appended with modifier 80 or 82 is less than the fee for the code when not appended with the modifier. Medicare's allowable payment for an assistant surgeon is 16% of the primary surgeon's allowable; the payment will also be





reduced for multiple (modifier 51) and bilateral (modifier 50) procedures if applicable. Other payers may reimburse at a higher, or lower, rate than Medicare's.

An assistant surgeon may be of the same or different specialty. The important documentation factor is for the primary surgeon to state the necessity for the assistant in the operative report.

Billing for a Fellow

Accurate billing for a fellow is institution-specific depending on the fellowship certification status. Practices are advised to consult the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) guidelines for billing guidelines for ACGME-approved fellowship programs,

In non-ACGME fellowship programs, the fellow should be separately credentialed with payers as a board-eligible, independent provider who can then bill as an assistant surgeon (modifier 80 or 82).

Recommended Reimbursement Strategies for Endoscopic Endonasal Surgery of the Skull Base

Unfortunately, many payers do not have a strategy for reimbursing unlisted CPT codes. We hope this white paper provides substantial and convincing information so that payments to surgeons are appropriate and timely.

For surgeons, we recommend the following actions to ensure optimal reimbursement for these services:

Contact your organization's managed care contracting office and set up a
meeting with them to describe this novel technique as well as the coding and





- anticipated reimbursement issues. They are your partners in ensuring successful and adequate payments.
- Request that your managed care contracts include a clause requiring payers to reimburse a specific percentage of your billed charge since unlisted codes do not have an assigned Medicare RVU or payment amount. Request that this clause be included in a revised contract if your current contract currently does not address use of an unlisted procedure code.
- Meet with the medical directors and provider relations representatives (together
 at the same meeting) of your major third party payers and present a professional
 PowerPoint talk with relevant and descriptive patient case studies. Be sure to
 show how performing the procedure endoscopically results in lower cost,
 decreased length of stay, decreased morbidity and higher quality of care.
- Use the sample Written Prior Authorization letters in the [insert link/location determined by Journal Editor] to obtain approval from the payer, in writing prior to the surgery, of the procedure. The payer's written approval is more formal and more binding than a telephone precertification.
- Also, use the sample Claim Denial Appeal letters in the [insert link/location determined by Journal Editor] to appeal claim denials. The second level appeal is to request a peer-to-peer phone call between the surgeon and a board-certified specialty-specific (otolaryngology, neurosurgery) physician claim reviewer at the payer level.
- Some academic practices find it beneficial to bill and collect for both departments (otolaryngology and neurosurgery) out of a separate, combined billing area. This allows separation of these combined cases, from usual department billing/collections efforts, resulting in easier data analysis and sharing of reimbursements. For example, while Medicare may not provide significant additional payment on unlisted codes, you may find that other payers do. You can easily calculate the average payment per case if these services are billed





from a separate billing area. The funds can also be more easily divided in a manner equitable to both departments if desired.

Physician Compensation Issues Using an Unlisted CPT Code

Unlisted CPT codes are not assigned relative value units (RVUs) by Medicare just as payers, including Medicare, do not have an assigned allowable (also called a fee schedule) for these codes. It is important that physicians performing these procedures, who are on an RVU-based compensation plan, be credited for the RVUs that are assigned to the comparison (base) code(s) used to value the unlisted code. Doing so allows the physician to obtain "credit" for the procedure and also encourages physicians to perform contemporary procedures and submit accurate codes.

Conclusion

Successful reimbursement for endoscopic endonasal skull base procedures is a multifaceted process and requires careful attention throughout the revenue cycle particularly with obtaining prior payer approval, development of a coding strategy using an unlisted code, appeal of denied claims as well as managed care contract specifications. Payer education about the novel technique may also be necessary so that difficulties obtaining prior authorization, claim denials and payment delays can be minimized.

We hope this white paper has assisted with a better understanding of the coding and reimbursement issues for endoscopic endonasal surgery of the skull base.





CODING AND REIMBURSEMENT FOR ENDOSCOPIC SKULL BASE SURGERY PROCEDURES APPENDICES

Requested by: North American Skull Base Society

Written by:
Kim Pollock, RN, MBA, CPC, CMDP
KarenZupko & Associates, Inc. and the
North American Skull Base Society Coding Committee

FREQUENTLY ASKED CODING QUESTIONS / ANSWERS ABOUT ENDOSCOPIC ENDONASAL SURGERY OF THE SKULL BASE

1. What codes should I use for endoscopic skull base procedures?

Currently, only one CPT code exists which describes an endoscopic endonasal approach to a skull base tumor; it is 62165 [Neuroendoscopy, intracranial; with excision of a pituitary tumor, transnasal or trans-sphenoidal approach]. CPT 62165 is a standalone code which means it includes the approach, tumor resection and closure of the operative field. When two surgeons participate in the case together, such as the otolaryngologist performing the approach while the neurosurgeon resects the tumor, each surgeon bills 62165 appended with modifier 62 [Two Surgeons] to reflect the cosurgeon role. Modifier 62 is necessary because neither surgeon performed the entire procedure him/herself.

The endoscopic endonasal pituitary tumor resection code, 62165, was implemented in 2003 to provide an appropriate method for reporting pituitary tumor removal when performed endoscopically. Up until 2003, the only code available was for a pituitary tumor removal via the traditional transnasal or transseptal approach which is reported





using 61548 [Hypophysectomy or excision of pituitary tumor, transnasal or transseptal approach, nonstereotactic]. This new code (62165) follows CPT's precedent of developing separate codes for endoscopic versus open approaches for performing the same procedure. For example, there are separate codes for open sinus surgery procedures versus endoscopic sinus surgery procedures.

Currently, there are no other CPT codes to report a skull base procedure when performed endoscopically through an endonasal approach. Therefore, an unlisted procedure code must be reported.

The issue becomes which unlisted code should be reported to represent an endoscopic endonasal skull base procedure. Because the skull base surgery codes are in the Nervous System section of the CPT manual, it makes sense to use that system's unlisted procedure code 64999 [*Unlisted procedure, nervous system*]. However, in reality, practices have found it difficult to obtain reimbursement when both the ENT and NS report the same exact unlisted code.

CPT says that modifiers should not be appended to an unlisted code. So, unlike 62165 where the ENT and NS can report the same code with modifier 62 to denote co-surgery, the two surgeons would report the same unlisted code without the co-surgery or assistant surgeon modifier. But, again, practices have found reimbursement to be difficult in this scenario as payers do not seem to understand why two surgeons of different specialties are using the same unlisted code for the same procedure on the same patient.

Therefore, we have seen better reimbursement success when the ENT and NS each report different unlisted codes. For example, the ENT reports 31299 [Unlisted procedure, accessory sinuses] while the NS reports 64999 [Unlisted procedure, nervous system]. Each surgeon compares their own unlisted code to the usual open skull base





code(s) to determine the value/fee for the procedure.

2. Why can't I use 61580-61619 for endoscopic endonasal procedures?

The existing open (involving skin incisions) skull base surgery CPT codes were implemented in 1994, which was prior to skull base surgery being performed via an endoscopic endonasal approach (without skin incisions). The existing skull base codes are valued for an open procedure involving major skin incisions and soft tissue dissection. Endoscopic endonasal techniques were not in use prior to 1994 when the open skull base codes were introduced into CPT.

To illustrate the point about skin incisions being required, the Winter 1993 issue of the CPT Assistant states the following about the anterior cranial fossa approach code (61580) that is frequently misused to report an endoscopic procedure:

"In the surgery described in this code, the nose is cut, (rhinotomy) part of the ethmoid bone is removed (ethmoidectomy), and part of the sphenoid bone is removed (sphenoidectomy) to gain access to the tumor."

In an endoscopic endonasal procedure, a rhinotomy for access to the skull base is not performed. The definitive procedure codes presume an open approach as this was the standard in 1994 when the codes were written. Therefore, it is not appropriate to report an existing skull base code for an endoscopic endonasal procedure.

Additionally, CPT guidelines state if a procedure or service code does not exist for the procedure performed, then the appropriate unlisted procedure code should be reported. CPT guidelines also instruct physicians not to select a CPT code that merely approximates the service provided when there is not a code for the procedure performed.





Therefore, it is not accurate to report an open skull base procedure code (61580-61616) for a procedure performed via an endoscopic endonasal approach.

3. When can I use the existing skull base procedure codes?

Use these codes when the procedure is performed in an open manner where skin incisions are made for the approach and lesion excision.

4. When is it appropriate to use the dura reconstruction codes, 61618-61619?

The CPT Assistant, Spring 1993 describes the typical use of the secondary repair codes in two situations: 1) where a plastic/reconstructive surgeon performs the service, or 2) for repair of a postoperative cerebrospinal fluid leak.

The first situation occurs when a plastic/reconstructive surgeon performs reconstruction of a more extensive dura/surgical defect at the same operative session as skull base surgeons have removed the tumor and were unable to close the defect primarily. This situation, which may have been common in the early 1990's when these codes were created, is extremely rare in today's clinical practice. The closure performed at the time of the procedure is included in the global surgical package for the otolaryngologist or neurosurgeon when an intradural open definitive skull base resection code is used (e.g., 61601).

Contemporary surgical techniques now allow skull base surgeons to perform a primary closure of the dura and/or surgical defect created in order to remove the tumor. Note that all of the intradural skull base resection codes state "intradural, including dural repair, with or without graft." Therefore, a separate code such as 61618 or 61619 is not reported by the tumor resection surgeon.





The second situation where 61618 or 61619 may be reported is when there is a postoperative complication of cerebrospinal fluid leakage following a skull base procedure (where the skull base codes were billed). Modifier 78 [Unplanned Return to the Operating/Procedure Room by the Same Physician or Other Qualified Health Care Professional Following Initial Procedure for a Related Procedure During the Postoperative Period] is appended to 61618-61619 when the return to the operating room occurs during the post-operative global period of the prior skull base procedure.

5. How can I get paid for endoscopic endonasal skull base procedures?

There are several different strategies to obtain reimbursement for an unlisted code that represents an endoscopic skull base procedure. Successful reimbursement is dependent on the type of payer as well as your organization's managed care contracting and collections skills.

For commercial payers, your contracts should specifically address how payment is determined when an unlisted code is used. Most academic groups routinely include this type of clause in contracts because these organizations perform cutting-edge or new technology procedures that don't have existing CPT codes. Because unlisted codes do not have a value (e.g., associated payer allowable, relative value unit), the contract clause should indicate that a specific percentage of your organization's billed fee will be reimbursed.

In our experience, Medicare will typically reimburse an unlisted code at the amount which would have been allowed for the comparison code(s). Medicaid plans are controlled by each state and experience has demonstrated either relatively low reimbursement or denial for unlisted codes.

In markets where there are a limited number of payers, practices have found it





beneficial to meet with the payer's Medical Director to not only discuss reimbursement, but to promote the advantages of endoscopic skull base surgery over traditional approaches. The dialogue with the payer has been invaluable and typically generates goodwill and understanding between both parties.

Written prior authorization, obtaining permission in writing from the payer prior to the procedure, has been another successful strategy for some practices. A written prior authorization is basically a formal effort seeking to guarantee payment from the third party payer for the procedure. There are two sample written prior authorization letters included in this document. One prior authorization letter is intended for the otolaryngologist's role while the other is intended to describe the neurosurgeon's role.

The expectation with a written prior approval request is that the third party payer will respond to you, in writing, granting permission to perform the procedure and acceptance of the proposed codes and fees. Written approval from the third party payer is preferred, since written approval from the payer carries more weight, and may even be legally binding. A verbal or phone precertification is generally impossible to verify and doesn't hold up in an appeal.

Lastly, be sure that Box 19 (Additional Claim Information), on the CMS 1500 claim form, includes a brief description of the procedure for which an unlisted code is used (e.g., "endoscopic skull base surgery").

6. What's the best code for the nasoseptal flap used for closure in endoscopic endonasal skull base procedures?

CPT 15740 [Flap; island pedicle] and 15750 [Flap; neurovascular pedicle] have been misused by practices to report this service. CPT states the following about 15750: "This code includes not only skin but also a functional motor or sensory nerve(s). The





flap serves to reinnervate a damaged portion of the body dependent on touch or movement (e.g., thumb)." Clearly, this is not the procedure being performed in endoscopic skull base cases.

The adjacent tissue transfer codes (14xxx) are described by CPT as surgically freeing skin and subcutaneous tissue and/or fascia; therefore, these codes are also not appropriate for reporting a nasoseptal flap.

Direct closure of the operative tract, and any wound created by the surgeon to perform the procedure not obtained through a separate incision, is included in the CPT code for the procedure performed. For example, the use of a pericranial graft to close the dura at the time of an open craniotomy is not separately reported. Dural closure using local (from the same surgical exposure) graft material is included in the primary procedure code.

One exception is the CPT guideline that allows separately reporting an intermediate (120xx) or complex (131xx) wound repair code with an excision of benign (114xx) or malignant (116xx) skin lesion removal code.

It is also not accurate to report 15576 [Formation of direct or tubed pedicle, with or without transfer; eyelids, nose, ears, lips, or intraoral] for the nasoseptal flap as this code is used to report nonadjacent tissue transfers involving skin and subcutaneous tissues – not nasal mucosa - and the formation of direct or tubed pedicles

However, closure may be separately reported if the defect cannot be closed primarily and graft material is harvested through a separate skin incision. In this situation, the closure is included using the graft harvest code (e.g., split thickness skin graft, free flap). For example, if an abdominal fat graft [20926, Tissue grafts, other (e.g., paratenon, fat, dermis)] is obtained through a separate skin incision to close the skull





base defect after an endoscopic pituitary tumor removal (62165); both codes, 62165 and 20926, may be reported.

An unresolved issue is whether reconstruction with a local vascularized flap (e.g., nasoseptal flap, middle turbinate flap, lateral nasal wall [inferior turbinate] flap) is separately reported. One option for reporting the added work is to append modifier 22 to the primary procedure code, 62165. Alternatively, a separate unlisted code such as 30999 [*Unlisted procedure, nose*] could be reported and compared to a code such as 14060 or 15740 for fee determination.

7. How do I code an endoscopic endonasal repair of a cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak when performed at a different operative session? For example, a primary repair of a spontaneous CSF leak or one caused by trauma or even a secondary repair of a delayed post-operative CSF leak. Is the coding different if the CSF leak is an unexpected complication of surgery (e.g., endoscopic sinus surgery for inflammatory disease) which the ENT might repair on their own or invite a skull base surgeon to repair?

There are two existing CPT codes that describe an endoscopic repair of a CSF leak depending on the location of the repair:

31290 Nasal/sinus endoscopy, surgical, with repair of cerebrospinal fluid leak; ethmoid region

31291 Nasal/sinus endoscopy, surgical, with repair of cerebrospinal fluid leak; sphenoid region

One of the above codes is used when the CSF leak is performed at a different operative session.





Both surgeons will use an unlisted code if either 31290 or 31291 does not accurately describe the service provided (e.g., if there is also an encephalocele that is repaired endoscopically). Refer to previous discussion about using an unlisted code.

The issue that typically arises is that neither 31290 nor 31291 is paid by Medicare when billed with modifier 80/82 (assistant surgeon) or 62 (co-surgeon). This is because Medicare will not pay an assistant-at-surgery fee for surgical procedures in which an assistant is used in fewer than five percent of the cases for that procedure nationally (this is determined through manual reviews).

There is not a CPT code for placement of an artificial graft in the skull base. This activity would be included in the primary procedure code for the service reported. Alternatively, one could report an unlisted code (17999, *Unlisted procedure, skin, mucous membrane and subcutaneous tissue*) and use +15777 [*Implantation of biologic implant* (e.g., acellular dermal matrix) for soft tissue reinforcement (ie, breast, trunk) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)] as a comparison code.

Remember, the secondary skull base reconstruction codes, 61618 and 61619, are not endoscopic procedures. These codes describe an open procedure just as the other codes, approach and definitive procedure, in the skull base surgery code subset of CPT. Therefore, 61618-61619 cannot be used for an endoscopic repair of a CSF leak. However, they can be used as a comparison code should the decision be made to use an unlisted code for the repair.

Similarly, there is not a specific code for a minimally invasive (extracranial) pericranial flap. The existing pericranial flap code 15733 [*Muscle, myocutaneous, or fasciocutaneous flap; head and neck with named vascular pedicle (ie, buccinators, genioglossus, temporalis, masseter, sternocleidomastoid, levator scapulae)*] is appropriate to use if a separate skin incision is performed to harvest the pericranial flap





and it is transferred to a separate location on a named vascular pedicle. A craniotomy is not necessary for 15733.

8. How do you code cases that have multiple approaches as part of the same endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery?

Choose the comparison code(s) as if the case were performed in an open manner. For example, due to the tumor's large size or location the ENT would have approached the tumor via a craniofacial approach (61580) and the neurosurgeon would have performed a craniotomy approach (e.g., 61583). Both surgeons will report their own unlisted code but use their own different open approach codes as comparison codes.

Bottom line: choose the comparison code(s) as you would if the procedure had been performed in an open manner.

9. How do I code when multiple approaches are used? For example, an endoscopic endonasal approach and an open approach are performed at the same operative session.

If endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery is combined with an open approach, then the open approach skull base surgery code may be separately reported. If tumor is removed through the open approach, then the open skull base definitive procedure code may also be separately reported in addition to the unlisted code for the endoscopic endonasal skull base procedure.

10. Is there a code for neurophysiological monitoring or use of a nerve stimulator?





There are codes for intraoperative monitoring but CPT guidelines prohibit the surgeon, assistant surgeon and co-surgeon from reporting these services. Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring and/or use of a nerve stimulator is included in the surgeon's global surgical package and should not be separately reported. Additionally, placement of needles for intraoperative monitoring is included in the surgeon's payment as this is considered part of the procedure set up.

11. Does a transodontoid approach to the posterior cranial fossa include a comparison code for the upper cervical spine? When is a separate spine resection code used?

If the open skull base approach comparison code chosen includes spine resection then a separate spine resection code is not also reported or included as a comparison code. For example, the open far lateral approach code (61597) includes resection of C1-C3 vertebral body(ies). Therefore, it would not be accurate to also include a separate anterior spine resection code (e.g., 63075) as a comparison code for the unlisted code reported.





APPENDIX A:

SUGGESTED CODING FOR ENDOSCOPIC ENDONASAL SKULL BASE PROCEDURES

Pituitary Tumors

Procedure	Specialty / Code to Report	Suggested Comparison Code(s) / wRVU
Endoscopic excision of pituitary tumor (intrasellar)	One specialty: 62165	-Comparison code not applicablewRVU = 23.23
Endoscopic excision of pituitary tumor (intrasellar)	Two surgeons, different specialties: ENT = 62165-62 NS = 62165-62	-Comparison code not applicable. -wRVU = 23.23 -Each surgeon will be reimbursed 62.5% of 23.23 (14.52 wRVU)
Endoscopic excision of pituitary tumor (intrasellar)	Two surgeons, same specialty, private practice: Surgeon 1 = 62165 Surgeon 2 = 62165-80	-Comparison code not applicablewRVU = 23.23 -Surgeon 1 is reimbursed at 100% of allowable (23.23 wRVU) -Surgeon 2 is reimbursed at 16% of allowable (3.77 wRVU)
Endoscopic excision of pituitary tumor (intrasellar)	Two surgeons, same specialty, academic practice: Surgeon 1 = 62165 Surgeon 2 (attending physician, no qualified resident available) = 62165-82	-Comparison code not applicablewRVU = 23.23 -Surgeon 1 is reimbursed at 100% of allowable (23.23 wRVU) -Surgeon 2 is reimbursed at 16% of allowable (3.77 wRVU)

Note: Also append modifier 22 to the code reported by the surgeon removing the tumor when there is some cavernous sinus invasion as this is added complexity.





Anterior Cranial Fossa Lesions

Procedure	Specialty / Code to Report	Example Comparison Code(s) / wRVU
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of anterior cranial fossa for extradural resection (e.g., sinonasal malignancy)	One specialty: 64999	61580, wRVU = 34.51 and 61600, wRVU = 30.01
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of anterior cranial fossa for an intradural tumor	Two specialties: ENT = 31299 NS = 64999	ENT = 61580, wRVU = 34.51 NS = 61601, wRVU = 31.14

Middle Cranial Fossa Lesions

Procedure	Specialty / Code to Report	Suggested Comparison Code(s) / wRVU
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of middle cranial fossa for resection of an extradural lesion in the parapharyngeal space, infratemporal, midline skull base, or nasopharynx	One specialty: 64999	61590, wRVU = 47.04 and 61605, wRVU = 32.57
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of middle cranial fossa for resection of an intradural lesion in the parapharyngeal space, infratemporal, midline skull base, or nasopharynx	Two specialties: ENT = 31299 NS = 64999	ENT = 61590, wRVU = 47.04 and NS = 61606, wRVU = 42.05
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of middle cranial fossa for resection of an extradural lesion in the internal auditory meatus, petrous apex, tentorium,	One specialty: 64999	61591, wRVU = 47.02 and 61605, wRVU = 40.93 Note: Use 61607 for parasellar area definitive





cavernous sinus, parasellar area, or infratemporal fossa	Specialty / Code to Report	Suggested Comparison Code(s) / wRVU procedure
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of middle cranial fossa for resection of an intradural lesion in the internal auditory meatus, petrous apex, tentorium, cavernous sinus, parasellar area, or infratemporal fossa	Two specialties: ENT = 31299 NS = 64999	ENT = 61591, wRVU = 47.02 and NS = 61606, wRVU = 42.05 Note: Use 61608 for parasellar area definitive procedure
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of middle cranial fossa for resection of an extradural lesion in the cavernous sinus and carotid artery, clivus, basilar artery or petrous apex	One specialty: 64999	61592, wRVU = 43.08 and 61607, wRVU = 40.93
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of middle cranial fossa for resection of an intradural lesion in the cavernous sinus and carotid artery, clivus, basilar artery or petrous apex	Two specialties: ENT = 31299 NS = 64999	ENT = 61592, wRVU = 43.08 and NS = 61608, wRVU = 45.54





Posterior Cranial Fossa Lesions

Procedure	Specialty / Code to Report	Suggested Comparison Code(s) / wRVU
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of the posterior cranial fossa for resection of an extradural lesion of the posterior cranial fossa, jugular foramen or midline skull base	One specialty: 64999	61596, wRVU = 39.43 and 61615, wRVU = 35.77
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of the posterior cranial fossa for resection of an intradural lesion of the posterior cranial fossa, jugular foramen or midline skull base	Two specialties: ENT = 31299 NS = 64999	ENT = 61596, wRVU = 39.43 and NS = 61616, wRVU = 46.74
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of the posterior cranial fossa for resection of an extradural lesion of the posterior cranial fossa, clivus or foramen magnum	One specialty: 64999	61598, wRVU = 36.53 and 61615, wRVU = 35.77
Endoscopic endonasal surgery of the posterior cranial fossa for resection of an intradural lesion of the posterior cranial fossa, clivus or foramen magnum	Two specialties: ENT = 31299 NS = 64999	ENT = 61598, wRVU = 36.53 and NS = 1616, wRVU = 46.74





Reconstruction Procedures

Procedure	Specialty / Code to Report	Suggested Comparison Code(s) / wRVU
Endoscopic CSF leak repair with local mucosal graft	One specialty: 31290, ethmoid region 31291, sphenoid region	-Comparison code not applicable. -31290 wRVU = 18.61 -31291 wRVU = 19.56
Endoscopic CSF leak repair with local mucosal graft	Two surgeons, different specialties: Each reports the same code, either 31290 or 31291. Append modifier 62 to the code for each surgeon.	-Comparison code not applicableMedicare does not allow payment for modifier 62 on either 31290 or 31291Some payers will allow each surgeon to be reimbursed 62.5% of the wRVU: 31290 (11.63 wRVU), 31291 (12.13 wRVU).
Endoscopic CSF leak repair with local mucosal graft	Two surgeons, same specialty, private practice: Surgeon 1 reports either 31290 or 31291. Surgeon 2 reports the same code with modifier 80.	-Comparison code not applicableMedicare does not allow payment for modifier 80 on either 31290 or 31291Some payers will allow each surgeon to be reimbursed 16% or more of the wRVU: 31290 (3.03 wRVU), 31291 (3.13+ wRVU)
Endoscopic CSF leak repair with local mucosal graft	Two surgeons, same specialty, academic practice: Surgeon 1 reports either 31290 or 31291. Surgeon 2 reports the same code with modifier 82.	-Comparison code not applicableMedicare does not allow payment for modifier 80 on either 31290 or 31291Some payers will allow each surgeon to be reimbursed 16% or more of the wRVU: 31290 (3.03 wRVU), 31291 (3.13 wRVU)
Harvest of abdominal fat graft	20926 Tissue grafts, other (e.g., paratenon, fat, dermis)	-Reported by the surgeon who harvests the abdominal fat graft. Placement of the graft is inherent in the primary procedure as part of the closure.





Procedure	Specialty / Code to	Suggested Comparison
	Report	Code(s) / wRVU
		-wRVU = 5.79
		-Do not use 15770 (Graft;
		derma-fat-fascia) (wRVU =
		8.96) as this code represents
		a composite graft requiring
		use of two or more layers of
		tissue. The September 1997
		CPT Assistant states the
		following about 15770: "The
		tissue used for the graft can
		be a continuous portion
		(containing all three of the
		layered components),
		individual parts (grafted layer
		by layer), or inserted in
		combination (such as a
		fascia-fat layer, later covered
		by a dermal layer)." CPT
		15770 is not intended for an
How year of foodin late	20022	abdominal fat graft.
Harvest of fascia lata	20922	- Reported by the surgeon
graft with or without fat	Fascia lata graft; by incision and area exposure,	who harvests the graft.
graft harvest at same site	complex or sheet	Placement of the graft is inherent in the primary
Site	Complex of sheet	procedure as part of the
		closure.
		-Code may be reported when
		a separate incision is made
		to harvest fascia lata from
		the thigh.
		-Use only 20922 if fat is also
		harvested from the fascia
		lata graft site.
		-wRVU = 6.93
Pericranial fascia graft	20926	-Reported by the surgeon
3	Tissue grafts, other (e.g.,	who harvests the graft.
	paratenon, fat, dermis)	However, placement of the
	,	graft is inherent in the
		primary procedure as part of
		the closure.
		-This is included in all open
		craniotomy codes and not





Procedure	Specialty / Code to Report	Suggested Comparison Code(s) / wRVU
		separately reportedMay separately report 20926 when separate skin incision is made to harvest graft material during an endoscopic endonasal procedurewRVU = 5.79
Nasoseptal flap / vascularized pedicle flap	30999 Unlisted procedure, nose Or Use modifier 22	-Reported by the surgeon who harvests the graft via a separate incisionUse a comparison code (e.g., 14060, 15740) for fee determinationAlternatively, append modifier 22 rather than report 30999.
Minimally invasive extracranial pericranial flap	15733 Muscle, myocutaneous, or fasciocutaneous flap; head and neck with named vascular pedicle (ie, buccinators, genioglossus, temporalis, masseter, sternocleidomastoid, levator scapulae)	-May be reported if performed through a separate skin incision and transferred to a distant location on a named vascular pediclewRVU = 15.86

Other Procedures

Procedure	CPT Code / wRVU	Comments
Stereotactic navigation, intradural	+61781 Stereotactic computer- assisted (navigational) procedure; cranial, intradural (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) wRVU = 3.75	-Use when the pathology addressed is intraduralCode is primarily for the system set up (e.g., registration, calibration, verification of navigation accuracy prior to making the incision) and preprocedure planning of the surgical procedureIntraoperative localization of the





Procedure	CPT Code / wRVU	Comments
		pathology, once the incision (exposure) is made, is included in the primary procedure code. -One surgeon (either ENT or NS) reports this code; typically the surgeon who performed the system set up. -Do not report with modifier 62 or 80/82.
Stereotactic navigation, extradural	Stereotactic computer- assisted (navigational) procedure; cranial, extradural (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) wRVU = 3.18	-Use when the pathology addressed is extradural. -Code is primarily for the system set up (e.g., registration, calibration, verification of navigation accuracy prior to making the incision) and pre-procedure planning of the surgical procedure. -Intraoperative localization of the pathology, once the incision (exposure) is made, is included in the primary procedure code. -One surgeon (either ENT or NS) reports this code; typically the surgeon who performed the set up. -Do not report with modifier 62 or 80/82. -In some cases, where both the ENT and NS separately perform and document their own system set up and pre-procedure planning of their portion of the procedure, the ENT may report +61782 and the NS may report +61781. However, this is not the usual circumstance and necessity for each surgeon's work must be documented to support coding.
Use of the	+69990	-Procedure statement must
operating microscope	Microsurgical techniques, requiring use of operating	describe the use of the operating microscope and the body of the





Procedure	CPT Code / wRVU	Comments
riocedure	microscope (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) wRVU = 3.46	operative report must also describe the microscope being used for microdissection / microsurgical technique. -Use of the operating microscope for "magnification" or "illumination" does not support use of +69990. -Do not append modifier 62. -Modifier 80/82 may be justified if the documentation reflects the assistant looking through the dual view finder and the assistant's work performed through the microscope. This must be clearly documented in the operative report. -ENT and NS may both report +69990, though some payers may not reimburse both surgeons.
Lumbar drain	62272 Spinal puncture, therapeutic, for drainage of cerebrospinal fluid (by needle or catheter) wRVU = 1.37	-May be separately reported even if it is removed prior to the patient leaving the operating room.
Use of intraoperative Doppler ultrasound	76998 Ultrasonic guidance, intraoperative wRVU = 1.22 (modifier 26, Professional Component)	- Intraoperative localization of the pathology is included in all tumor removal and not separately reported.





APPENDIX B:

WRITTEN PRIOR AUTHORIZATION LETTER - OTOLARYNGOLOGY

Note: This letter is sent to the patient's third party payer prior to the surgery to obtain written approval for the procedure.

Re: Prior Authorization Request
To Whom It May Concern:
I am writing to request your written approval for a procedure that I will be performing with my colleague,MD/DO who is a neurosurgeon, on [state name of patient]. The procedure is planned for [insert date] to address a skull base tumor.

We plan to remove the tumor through the nose via an endoscopic endonasal approach. With this approach, the entire procedure (approach, tumor resection, defect reconstruction) is performed using a minimally invasive approach through the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses.

In contrast to a traditional open approach for select tumors, endoscopic endonasal surgery provides improved visualization and direct access that can provide improved outcomes with less morbidity (less vision loss, less hormone loss) and a faster recovery (decreased length of hospitalization). Avoidance of brain manipulation minimizes the risk of serious complications and preserves maximal brain function.

Overall, endoscopic endonasal surgery achieves equivalent (or better) tumor control with less morbidity and decreased cost of care.

Currently, there are no CPT codes for endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery; therefore, we will use CPT 31299 (*Unlisted procedure, accessory sinuses*) for my services in the endoscopic endonasal approach, resection assistance and closure/defect reconstruction to remove this skull base tumor through the nose.

The CPT guidelines instruct physicians not to select a CPT code that merely approximates the service provided. Additionally, CPT guidelines state if no such procedure or service exists, then the appropriate unlisted procedure or service code is reported. We are following CPT guidelines by reporting an unlisted CPT code, 31299, because the current open skull base CPT codes do not describe an endoscopic endonasal procedure.

The following table, below left, shows the procedures we plan to perform (represented by the CPT code we will report) as well as my fee using the appropriate unlisted code. The table below on the right shows a comparison to the current open skull base surgery





CPT code and our associated fee so you will understand how we derived our charge for this patient's procedure.

Endoscopic Procedure Planned / Fee			
Unlisted	Description		
CPT Code	e ·		
31299	Unlisted procedure,		
	accessory sinuses		
Endoscopic endonasal approach and			
skull base repair as well as assisting the			
neurosurgeon during the tumor resection			
Fee:			

Comparison to Open Codes / Fee		
Comparison	Description	
CPT Code		
Fee:		

Please note that I have included in the fee all postoperative Evaluation and Management (E/M) services for 90 days as is included in the comparison base open CPT code(s) listed above. However, necessary endoscopic sinus debridements (31237) will be separately reported with modifier 58 (staged or related procedure in the global period).

We request a written response to our request. Thank you in advance for kindly approving this procedure in an expeditious and appropriate manner.

Sincerely,

Dr. Otolaryngologist





APPENDIX C:

CLAIM DENIAL APPEAL LETTER - OTOLARYNGOLOGY

Note: This letter is sent to the patient's third party payer after the claim has been denied.

Re: Claim denial	
To Whom It May Concern:	
I am writing to request your reconsideration for performed with my colleague,	_MD/DO who is a neurosurgeon, on [state presented with a skull base tumor that we cope through the nose. There are few

With this approach the entire procedure (approach, tumor resection and defect reconstruction) is performed using a minimally invasive approach through the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses.

In contrast to a traditional open approach for select tumors, endoscopic surgery provides improved visualization and direct access that can provide improved outcomes with less morbidity (less vision loss, less hormone loss) and a faster recovery (decreased length of hospitalization). Avoidance of brain manipulation minimizes the risk of serious complications and preserves maximal brain function.

Overall, endoscopic endonasal surgery achieves equivalent (or better) tumor control with less morbidity and decreased cost of care.

Currently, there are no CPT codes for endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery; therefore, we reported CPT 31299 (*Unlisted procedure, accessory sinuses*). This one unlisted code describes multiple services including my performance of the endoscopic endonasal approach and closure/skull base defect reconstruction as well as assistance to the neurosurgeon in the tumor resection in order to remove this skull base tumor through the nose.

The CPT guidelines instruct physicians not to select a CPT code that merely approximates the service provided. Additionally, CPT guidelines state if no such procedure or service exists, then the appropriate unlisted procedure or service code is reported. We are following CPT guidelines by reporting an unlisted CPT code, 31299, because the current open skull base CPT codes do not describe an endoscopic endonasal procedure.





The following table, below left, shows the unlisted code we reported and the comparison code(s). The table, below right, shows a comparison to the current open skull base surgery CPT code(s) and our associated fee so you will understand how we derived our charge for this patient's procedure.

Endoscopic Procedure Performed / Fee	
Unlisted	Description
CPT Code	
31299	Unlisted procedure,
	accessory sinuses
Endoscopic endonasal approach and	
skull base repair as well as assisting the	
neurosurgeon during the tumor resection	
Fee:	

Comparison to Open Codes / Fee		
Comparison	Description	
CPT Code		
Fee:		

Please note that I have included in the fee all postoperative Evaluation and Management (E/M) services for 90 days as is included in the comparison base open CPT code(s) listed above. However, necessary endoscopic sinus debridements (31237) will be separately reported with modifier 58 (staged or related procedure in the global period).

Thank you in advance for kindly processing this claim in an expeditious and appropriate manner.

Sincerely,

Dr. Otolaryngologist





APPENDIX D:

WRITTEN PRIOR AUTHORIZATION LETTER - NEUROSURGERY

Note: This letter is sent to the patient's third party payer prior to the surgery to obtain written approval for the procedure.

Re: Prior Authorization Request	
To Whom It May Concern:	
with my colleague,	approval for a procedure that I will be performing MD/DO who is an otolaryngologist, on [state name ed for [insert date] to address a skull base tumor.

We plan to remove the tumor through the nose via an endoscopic endonasal approach. With this approach the entire procedure (approach, tumor resection and defect reconstruction) is performed using a minimally invasive approach through the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses.

In contrast to a traditional open approach for select tumors, endoscopic surgery provides improved visualization and direct access that can provide improved outcomes with less morbidity (less vision loss, less hormone loss) and a faster recovery (decreased length of hospitalization). Avoidance of brain manipulation minimizes the risk of serious complications and preserves maximal brain function.

Overall, endoscopic endonasal surgery achieves equivalent (or better) tumor control with less morbidity and decreased cost of care.

Currently, there are no CPT codes for endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery; therefore, we will use CPT 64999 (*Unlisted procedure, nervous system*) for my services in the endoscopic endonasal tumor resection as well as assisting the otolaryngologist with the approach to remove this skull base tumor through the nose.

The CPT guidelines instruct physicians not to select a CPT code that merely approximates the service provided. Additionally, CPT guidelines state if no such procedure or service exists, then the appropriate unlisted procedure or service code is reported. We are following CPT guidelines by reporting an unlisted CPT code, 64999, because the current open skull base CPT codes do not describe an endoscopic endonasal procedure.

The following table, below left, shows the procedures we plan to perform (represented by the CPT code we will report) as well as my fee using the appropriate unlisted code. The table below on the right shows a comparison to the current open skull base surgery





CPT code and our associated fee so you will understand how we derived our charge for this patient's procedure.

Endoscopic Procedure Planned / Fee	
Unlisted	Description
CPT Code	•
64999	Unlisted procedure, nervous
	system
Endoscopic endonasal tumor resection	
as well as assisting the otolaryngologist	
during the approach	
Fee:	

Comparison to Open Codes / Fee		
Comparison	Description	
CPT Code		
Fee:		

Please note that I have included in the fee all postoperative care for 90 days as is included in the comparison base open CPT code(s) listed above. This includes at least [insert number here] hospital days and [insert number here] office visits.

We request a written response to our request. Thank you in advance for kindly approving this procedure in an expeditious and appropriate manner.

Sincerely,

Dr. Neurosurgeon





APPENDIX E:

CLAIM DENIAL APPEAL LETTER - NEUROSURGERY

Note: This letter is sent to the patient's third party payer after the claim has been denied.

Re: Claim denial	
To Whom It May Concern:	
I am writing to request your reconsideration for performed with my colleague,N [state name of patient]. [Insert patient's name hat we removed via a new technique using an efew institutions around the country that perform	MD/DO who is an otolaryngologist, on ere] presented with a skull base tumor endoscope through the nose. There are

With this approach, the entire procedure (approach, tumor resection and defect reconstruction) is performed using a minimally invasive approach through the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses.

In contrast to a traditional open approach for select tumors, endoscopic surgery provides improved visualization and direct access that can provide improved outcomes with less morbidity (less vision loss, less hormone loss) and a faster recovery (decreased length of hospitalization). Avoidance of brain manipulation minimizes the risk of serious complications and preserves maximal brain function.

Overall, endoscopic endonasal surgery achieves equivalent (or better) tumor control with less morbidity and decreased cost of care.

Currently, there are no CPT codes for endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery; therefore, we reported CPT 64999 (*Unlisted procedure, nervous system*). This one unlisted code describes multiple services including my performance of the endoscopic endonasal tumor resection and assistance to the otolaryngologist with the approach in order to remove this skull base tumor through the nose.

The CPT guidelines instruct physicians not to select a CPT code that merely approximates the service provided. Additionally, CPT guidelines state if no such procedure or service exists, then the appropriate unlisted procedure or service code is reported. We are following CPT guidelines by reporting an unlisted CPT code, 64999, because the current open skull base CPT codes do not describe an endoscopic endonasal procedure.

The following table, below left, shows the unlisted code we reported and the comparison





code(s). The table, below right, shows a comparison to the current open skull base surgery CPT code(s) and our associated fee so you will understand how we derived our charge for this patient's procedure.

Endoscopic Procedure Performed / Fee	
Unlisted	Description
CPT Code	
64999	Unlisted procedure, nervous
	system
Endoscopic endonasal tumor resection	
as well as assisting the otolaryngologist	
during the approach	
Fee:	

Comparison to Open Codes / Fee	
Comparison	Description
CPT Code	
Fee:	

Please note that I did include in my fee all postoperative care for 90 days as is included in the comparison base open CPT code(s) listed above. This includes at least [insert number here] hospital days and [insert number here] office visits.

Thank you in advance for kindly processing this claim in an expeditious and appropriate manner.

Sincerely,

Dr. Neurosurgeon



